

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,957	12/18/2001	Wayne M. Doran	9852.00	7152
	5889 7590 12/23/2008 MICHAEL CHAN		EXAMINER	
NCR CORPORATION			WINTER, JOHN M	
1700 SOUTH PATTERSON BLVD DAYTON, OH 45479-0001			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3685	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte: WAYNE M. DORAN

Application No. 10/022,957 Technology Center 3600

Mailed: December 23, 2008

Before KRISTA ZELE Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator ZELE, Deputy Chief Appeals Administrator.

#### ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on September 30, 2008. A review of the application revealed that it is not ready for docketing as an appeal.

Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the Examiner to address the following matter(s) requiring attention prior to docketing.

### APPEAL BRIEF, APPEALED CLAIMS

Appellant has not appealed all rejected claims. Specifically, a review of the Grounds of Rejection on the record finds that rejections are outstanding for the following pending claims: 48 - 62. The rejected claims that have not been appealed and/or argued for appeal are claims 51, 52, 54, 55, 56, 61 and 62.

## DISCUSSION

The Board of Appeals and Interferences (Board), in Ex parte Ghuman, <a href="http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/prec/rm081175.pdf">http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/prec/rm081175.pdf</a> (BPAI May 14, 2008) (precedential), held that in appeals where rejected claims are expressly withdrawn, or are implicitly withdrawn by not presenting arguments in support of patentability, the Board will remand (or return) the application to the Examiner with instructions to cancel the expressly or implicitly withdrawn claims. See also Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) § 1215.03 (8th ed. Rev. 6, Sept 2007).

# CONCLUSION

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner to:

- 1) enter a paper canceling claims 51, 52, 54, 55, 56, 61, and 62; and
- 2) upon entry of the paper, to return the application to the Board for the consideration of appealed claims;
- 3) for such further action as may be appropriate.

Application No. 10/022,957

If there are any questions pertaining to this Order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

KZ/cdc

MICHAEL CHAN NCR CORPORATION 1700 SOUTH PATTERSON BLVD DAYTON OH 45479-0001